

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN  
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF  
FOUR HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS  
SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR  
NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO  
DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR  
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT  
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL, LEE J.  
GOLDBERG, AT 212-310-8928.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007  
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In re** : **Chapter 11 Case No.**  
:   
**LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,** : **08-13555 (JMP)**  
:   
**Debtors.** : **(Jointly Administered)**  
-----X

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON FOUR HUNDRED SECOND  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on March 15, 2013, Lehman Brothers Holdings  
Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third  
Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors

(the “Plan”), filed its four hundred second omnibus objection to claims (the “Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **April 25, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any responses to the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at [www.nysb.uscourts.gov](http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov)) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at [www.nysb.uscourts.gov](http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov)), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq. and Lee J. Goldberg, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Susan Golden, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **April 15, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “Response Deadline”).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that if no responses are timely filed and

served with respect to the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: March 15, 2013  
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons

Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007  
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In re** : **Chapter 11 Case No.**  
: **LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,** : **08-13555 (JMP)**  
: **Debtors.** : **(Jointly Administered)**  
-----X

**FOUR HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN  
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS FOUR  
HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD  
REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S)  
AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE  
WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT  
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL, LEE J.  
GOLDBERG, AT 212-310-8928.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), respectfully represents:

**Relief Requested**

1. The Plan Administrator files this four hundred second omnibus objection to claims (the “Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”) pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [ECF No. 6664], seeking to disallow and expunge the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The Plan Administrator has examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A (collectively, the “No Liability Derivatives Claims”) and has determined that the No Liability Derivatives Claims should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to LBHI. After a review of the claimant’s supporting documentation and the LBHI’s books and records, the Plan Administrator has determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts (as defined herein) and the netting provisions thereunder, LBHI does not owe any amounts to the claimants. The No Liability Derivatives Claims, therefore, do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims, and the Plan Administrator requests that they be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.

3. The Plan Administrator reserves all its rights to object on any basis to any No Liability Derivatives Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

### **Jurisdiction**

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

### **Background**

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

6. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012.

7. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against LBHI.

8. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered an order setting forth procedures for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases, including procedures for filing proofs of claim and supporting documentation for claims based on Derivatives Contracts<sup>1</sup> (the “Bar Date Order”) [ECF No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on amounts owed pursuant to any Derivative Contract must: . . . complete the electronic Derivative Questionnaire [and] electronically upload supporting documentation on the website . . . .” (Bar Date Order at 7) The Bar Date Order further provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on a Guarantee by a Debtor of the obligations of a non-Debtor entity under a Derivative Contract must [also]: . . . complete the electronic Guarantee Questionnaire and electronically upload supporting documentation on the website . . . .” (*Id.* at

---

<sup>1</sup> “Derivative Contract” is defined in the Bar Date Order as meaning “any contract that is of (i) a ‘swap agreement’ as such term is defined in section 101(53B) of the Bankruptcy Code or (ii) a ‘forward contract’ as such term is defined in section 101(25) of the Bankruptcy Code . . . .” (*See* Bar Date Order at 6).

8.) A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

9. Exhibit C to the Bar Date Order was a version of the Derivative Questionnaire, which required that the claimant provide various information in support of its claim, such as copies of relevant agreements; a copy of the termination notice; a valuation statement; individual trade-level detail; trade value methodology and quotations; and unpaid amounts, collateral, and other costs associated with the claim pursuant to the Derivatives Contract. Also attached to the Bar Date Order was Exhibit D, a version of the Guarantee Questionnaire setting forth the information forming the basis of the claimant's assertions of a guarantee claim.

10. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the filing of omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

**The No Liability Derivatives Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged**

11. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, Plan Administrator has identified the No Liability Derivatives Claims as claims that should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to LBHI. The Derivatives Contracts that underlie the No Liability Derivatives Claims have either been terminated or matured pursuant to the terms thereof. After a review of the claimants' supporting documentation and LBHI's books and records, the Plan Administrator has determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts and the netting provisions thereunder, LBHI does not

owe any amounts to the claimants. Consequently, the No Liability Derivatives Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims.

12. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at \*15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

13. The Plan Administrator currently utilizes a thorough, multi-step process to review claims filed against LBHI based on a Derivatives Contract (“Derivatives Claims”) in order to determine the fair, accurate, and reasonable value, if any, of such claims. In order to determine the amounts due under a Derivatives Contract, the Plan Administrator: (i) collects and reviews documents related to the relevant Derivatives Claim including, but not limited to, the relevant Derivatives Questionnaire and/or Guarantee Questionnaire, the termination notice, and the valuation statement; (ii) reconciles posted collateral and any cash payments already received, made, or missed; and (iii) reviews the valuation methodology used by the claimant to determine the value of the claim, including verifying the legitimacy of quotes provided by the claimant in connection with its valuation statement, reviewing claimant’s “loss” calculation, and evaluating any set-off claims.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, to the extent the holder is willing, the Plan Administrator

---

<sup>2</sup> For a more comprehensive discussion of the valuation process, please see the Declaration of Gary H. Mandelblatt in Support of Debtors’ Motion Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form (attached as “Exhibit C” to Debtors’ Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion of the Debtors, Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3), for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form [ECF No. 4113]).



engages in lengthy negotiations with the holder of the Derivatives Claim that are often very detailed and may extend over a period of months.

14. The Plan Administrator has undertaken this lengthy process with respect to each of the No Liability Derivatives Claims and concluded that a fair, accurate, and reasonable valuation of the No Liability Derivatives Claims demonstrates that LBHI does not owe any of the claimants money. Accordingly, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the No Liability Derivatives Claims listed on Exhibit A.

15. With respect to claimants asserting No Liability Derivatives Claims as to which the Court does not grant this Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims, the Plan Administrator may commence alternative dispute resolution procedures under the *Order Pursuant to Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9014, and General Order M-390 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement Claims Hearing Procedures and Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures for Claims Against Debtors*, dated April 19, 2010 [ECF No. 8474].

#### **Notice**

16. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. Notice of this Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims has been served on (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) each claimant listed on Exhibit A; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and

administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

17. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: March 15, 2013  
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons  
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**Exhibit A**

**OMNIBUS OBJECTION 402: EXHIBIT A - NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVE CLAIMS**

NAME	DEBTOR NAME	CLAIM #	ASSERTED TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	AMOUNTS TO BE DISALLOWED	AMOUNTS NOT SUBJECT TO THIS OBJECTION	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
1 DR. H.C. TSCHIRA BETEILIGUNGS GMBH & CO. KG	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	32395	\$345,842,978.50 *	\$345,842,978.50	None	No Liability Claim - Derivative
2 KLAUS TSCHIRA STIFTUNG GGMBH	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	22671	\$259,126,673.93 *	\$259,126,673.93	None	No Liability Claim - Derivative
TOTAL			\$604,969,652.43	\$604,969,652.43		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
<b>In re</b>	: <b>Chapter 11 Case No.</b>
	:
<b>LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,</b>	: <b>08-13555 (JMP)</b>
	:
<b>Debtors.</b>	: <b>(Jointly Administered)</b>
-----X	

**ORDER GRANTING FOUR HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

Upon the four hundred second omnibus objection to claims, dated March 15, 2013 (the “Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”),<sup>1</sup> of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [ECF No. 6664] (the “Procedures Order”), seeking disallowance and expungement of the No Liability Derivatives Claims on the grounds that they assert claims for which LBHI has no liability, all as more fully described in the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims.

the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of LBHI, its creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto are disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to, any claim listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Four Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims that is not listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2013  
New York, New York

---

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE